

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virguna 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/937,086 09/21/2001 Harald Blum MO6652333671 4679

157 7590 06/17/2003

BAYER POLYMERS LLC 100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205

EXAMINER

SERGENT, RABON A

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/937,086	BLUM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rabon Sergent	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a) In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 6.133)
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 A		
, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.	
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>	Ince except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 23-46 is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>23-25,28-30,35,37 and 39-46</u> is/are re	ejected.	
7) Claim(s) <u>26,27,31-34,36 and 38</u> is/are objected	I to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rece	eived.
attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Acti	ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 10

Application/Control Number: 09/937,086 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The use of the word, "they", within the claim is improper.

2. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what constitutes a "desired viscosity and molecular weight".

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Art Unit: 1711

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 23, 28, 41, 42, 45, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moren et al. ('651).

Patentees disclose the production of alkoxysilane terminated polyurethane solutions that are suitable as moisture curable coatings. The polyurethanes are produced by initially reacting a polyol with a polyisocyanate to yield a prepolymer, and finally reacting the resulting prepolymer with a hydroxy functional alkoxysilane. Patentees further teach at column 6, lines 15+ that chain extenders may be used in addition to the polyol component. The position is taken that applicants' claimed molecular weights and hydroxyl numbers are encompassed by the disclosed reactants.

5. Claims 24, 25, 30, 35, 37, 39, 40, 43, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moren et al. ('651).

Patentees disclose the production of alkoxysilane terminated polyurethane solutions that are suitable as moisture curable coatings. The polyurethanes are produced by initially reacting a polyol with a polyisocyanate to yield a prepolymer, and finally reacting the resulting prepolymer with a hydroxy functional alkoxysilane. Patentees further teach at column 6, lines 15+ that chain extenders may be used in addition to the polyol component. Though patentees are silent with

Application/Control Number: 09/937,086

Art Unit: 1711

respect to such features as weight percent contents and solids content, the position is taken that it

would have been obvious to adjust these features, so as to arrive at the instant invention, because

it has been held that it is obvious to vary features that amount to being result effective variables.

6. Claims 26, 27, 31-34, 36, and 38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone

number (703) 308-2982.

R. Sergent

June 13, 2003

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4